OCCASIONS WHEN EVIDENCE WAS NECESSARY YET NEVER PRODUCED

COVERING THE PERIOD DEC-21 TO SEP-23

	OPPO	RTUNITY OR OCCASION	
1	Dec/Jan 2022	At the point the complaints were investigated and then dismissed as a civil matter	The only evidence on the file when it was finally provided was the witness statements PD and WDS had aquired 6 months Isater when they decided to use the criminal justice system to
2	Jan-22	Cape Town High Court. Eviction application KB. Round one	advance their cases in the civil courts Nothing produced
3	Feb-22	Cape Town High Court. Eviction application KB. Round two	Nothing produced
4	Mar-22	Attorney correspondence throughout the period after illegal eviction no.1 and before the illegal arrest	Nothing produced
5	Mar-22	My application for a protection order,	Nothing produced
6	Mar-22	The resulting defence submitted by KB	Nothing produced
7	May/ Jun 22	The decision by KB to postpone the June hearing	Had evidence existed it would have been deployed then to enable KB to take the property. Had that hearing taken place KB would have ben asking the judge to grant the eviction if a tenant who was up to date with their rent, had paid 9 months of rent in full and on time. had renovated the property, had 4.5 years of a 5 year lease remaining.
8			Had they had such explosive ammunition it would have been deployed. The reason for putting the hearing back 6 months was to try the cheaper and faster illegal route in particular because they had no confidence in the success of the June hearing.
9	Mar-23	Paula's civil action to recover Hove Road, and drafted	d all the attorneys' communications exchanged and court apers
10	Jul-22	The illegal evictions of 22 and 24 July	No reference to any new evidence or allegations beyond those already repeated and untrue
11	Jul-22	The illegal protection order	KB felt it necessary to commit perjury and lie about the events of 24 July and fabricate a story about him being in accusation in his own home t the time and the thugs were mine sent to intimidate him
12	Jul-22	The malicious prosecution	If evidence existed of any impropriety it would have been used to justify the arrest, instead of the fabricated stories about theft of TVs
13	04-Aug-22	The illegal arrest	At the point the arrest was executed or immediately after if any evidence existed it would have been used
14	04-Aug-22	Spoliation proceedings	The arrest and detention were part of a plan to remove me as an obstacle, when they when faced with spoliation proceedings to recover the property would you not deploy any evidence you had
15	05-Aug-22	Investigating Officer and attorney	When the attorney and Investigating Officer spoke the only references the I.O made to charges or the refusal to releadse me on bail for what was an exceptioanlly minor charge was the visa/passport issue. Whereby it had again been necessary for PD to commit a crime with the explicit purpose of ensuring my status was compromised.

	05-Aug-22	Investigating Officer and attorney	If I had genuinely been committing criminal acts it would not be necessary to interfere in the process. If I intended to not extend my visa there would be no reason to create the situation where that was impossible
16	9th august 2022	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Appearance 1. Prosecution object to bail	The Investigating Officer objected to bail on the sole basis of the visa situation, brought about by the theft of my passport despite knowing he held my passport at the time. Do we think if real evidence existed that it would not have been produced then, rather than obstructing just by creating the crime you seek to then prosecute that person for.
17	Aug-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Appearance 2; Start of bail application, all day	As above
18	Aug-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Appearance 3; Second day bail application, all day	As above
19	Aug-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Appearance 4;. Third day bail application, all day	No evidence is produced during the entire bail application process. And the IP fails to carry out the Magistrates order to go to the storage unit
20	Aug-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Appearance 5; Fourth day, bail application, Wynberg 1	At the last minute, due to the ABSENCE OF EVIDENCE, WDS arranges for PD to supply an affidavit that is demonstrably false. IF YOU HAVE EVIDENCE, WHY MAKE STUFF UP?
21	Aug-22	Bail application. Fina day	Are we suggesting that after all their efforts that the consortium simply allowed themselves to lose rather than produce any evidence
22	21-Aug-22	News24 Group. Article 1	Why publish entirely false articles if evidence exists of actual crimes
23	23-Aug-22	News24 Group. Article 2	As above
24	23-Aug-22	News24 Group. Article 3	As above
25	Sep-22	Paula's civil action to recover Hove Road, and all the attorneys' communications exchanged and court apers drafted and the negotiations about Hove Rd	
26	Sep-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Post bail / pre trial hearing 1	Neither the Investigating Officer or docket turn up
27	Sep-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Post bail / pre trial hearing 2	Neither the Investigating Officer or docket turn up
28	Oct-22	Wynberg Magistrates Court. Post bail / pre trial hearing 3	Magistrates throws out charges and no longer on bail. Not even then do we see evidence to stop it
29	Oct-22	Cape Town Magistrates Court September protection order hearing	When the two Pos come head to head it is necessary for WDS to secure the outcome prior to our even arriving and without a shred of evidence
30	Oct-22	Paula Disberrys false allegation and malicious prosexution regard the theft and damage at Hove Road	Warrant officer stated he charges were groundless and no evidence provided by PD but stopped from closing the docket/file so there was a live investiation when next needed
31	Nov-22	Cape Town High Court. Eviction application KB. 8 November application for oral evidence	The extremely important event of the November hearing that world decide the possession issue and yet it was not considered an opportunity to produce any evidence
32	August to November	No arrest	The fact no arrest was executed after then and for four months demonstrates the complete lack of evidence
33	August to November	Need Ragi's cooperation	It was essential to enlist the cooperation of Ragi Moonsamy for an arrest to be executed precisely because no evidence existed to proceed without

34	Month: October 2022	ESS	Johan, Denis and Andre had access to whatever they wanted. I understand they claim to have Gigs of evidence. I am 100% confident that is a lie. Because there was no evidence. The fact they thought there were millions hidden in secret bank accounts (I assume because of what WDS and PD told them) shows they found nothing. Andre's bedroom was next to my office with a connecting door. He had hours on my laptop (which i never locked) whoever i was out or when i was away from the office or when i was asleep.
35	Month: September and October 2022	MARIO/ESS	Likewise Mario, who had the passwords to everything, access to everything and similar access to my laptop. Considering the very significant efforts all four put into finding evidence, they found nothing
36	Month: October 2022	MARIO/ESS	When they came to my room for the confrontation, in hindsight clearly instigated by Mario in his attempts to whip up the idea of a scam, I asked reputedly for evidence of any wrongdoing or duplicate profile. He made some absurd comment about how he had passed the file to the police. Another lie
37	Month: October 2022	MARIO/ESS	At one of the round table meetings when Mario made the same allegation, and I repeatedly asked for the evidence he always referenced but never produced, again, obfuscation.
38	13-Dec-22	KB appeal against the November hearing	Yet again, included none of the evidence they keep referring to
39	14-Dec-22	Arrest 2	When the executed the arrest they had to reply on the 3 old cases from a year earlier, on which the place had not invested a single hour since then and had already confirmed they were civil matters. DO WE NOT THINK IF ANY EVIDENCE EXISTED THAT THEN WOULD HAVE BEEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO USE IT One of the three was actually fully refunded.
40	19-Dec-22	First appearance for Bail	Why of any evidence existed or even other theories would the Investigating Officer have felt it necessary to fabricate reasons to object to bail
41	17-Dec-22	Rapport (Media24) Article, 4	Articles published consisting entirely of fabrications
42	17-Dec-22	Netwerk24 (Media24) Article, 5	Articles published consisting entirely of fabrications
43	06-Jan-23	Second appearance for bail	Again, the court was provided with false information t delay the start of the bail application. Despite being asked where are the charges ad where is the evidence
44	16-Jan-23	News24 (Media24Group): Article, 6	As above
45	02-Feb-23	Rapport (Media24 Group): Article, 7	As above
46	02-Feb-23	Third appearance for bail	As above
47	09-Feb-23	Fourth appearance for bail	As above
48	28-Feb-23	Deadline the Magistrate gave to decide on any and all charges	Even as the deadline came and went no new charges, no new evidence, not even any new ancillary evidence

49	Feb-23	KB application to take back the property while I was incarcerated	The perfect opportunity to introduce evidence or allegations rather than repeating the same fabrications that you have been repeating for a year. Instead they simply add the WDS dossier and repeat the same lies but without an opponent to challenge them
50	13-Mar-23	Advocate and NPA meeting prior to start of bail application	When the NPA and the attornyes first saw the charges discussions began immediately to have them withdrawn. In the face of all hat hard work ending up with such a definitive loss surely then would be the opportunity to produce anything new or anything period.
51	13-Mar-23	Start of bail application, when the NPA confirm withdrawal of all the charges	Not even then
52	13-Mar-23	Addition of the immigration charge	In the absence of any evidence of any kind or any new charges or anything at all related to fraud or scams the Investigating Officer has to produce a bogus immigration breach charge. Dowe not think if anything existed between December 2021 and march 2022 then now was the time t use it?
53	13-Mar-23	Granting of bail and conditions	Magistrate grants bail and sets conditions. The only thing the investigating officer does to complicate or challenge that is demand a bail of 100,000. If they had one once of new information or evidence why rely on inflating bail to an impossible level as a substitute. And despite failing in that attempt, they still provident nothing that could challenge the bail decision
54	14-Mar-23	KB's application	Is heard again, nothing new
55	14-Mar-23	KB's application	What is noticable in KBs application is the inclusion of all the fabricated evidence that has been addressed in detail. Why if you have evidence would you need to fanbricate it
56	Mar-23	Wynberg Court: Post bail hearing update hearing 1	As above
57	Apr-23	Wynberg Court: Post bail hearing update hearing 2	As above
58	May-23	Wynberg Court: Post bail hearing update hearing 3	As above
59	Jun-23	Wynberg Court: Permission granted for submission	As above
60	Jun-23	Representations submitted	Investigating Officer; no attempt to object, resist OR offer new information or any evidence
61	Jul-23	Wynberg Court: SSP seek extension of a month to investigate Representations	As above
62	Aug-23	Wynberg Court: SSP confirm to the NPA to withdraw the charge	As above
63	Sep-23	Wynberg Court: Magistrates confirms the withdrawal of the charges	As above
64	Oct-23	At any time post this point had they been a	able to execute a further arrest