

# KEITH BROADS ABUSE OF THE CRIMINAL AND CIVIL COURTS

## (INTER CHANGEABILITY OF CRIMINAL, CIVIL & ILLEGAL ROUTES)

MONTH		ILLEGAL ROUTE	'LEGAL' ROUTE
The table shows the extraordinary hypocrisy and falsity of KB. Using illegal means and criminal acts to take back the property interchangeability with pursuing the 'legal' options available. When one did not work, he would switch from one, to the other, then back again. Each time an illegal attempt failed, he would go back to the High Court, as if nothing had happened, and expect a court of law to grant him, what his breaking of the law had failed to			
OCTOBER	LEGAL COMMS	REFURBISHMENT WORKS	REFURBISHMENT WORKS
NOVEMBER		REFURBISHMENT WORKS	REFURBISHMENT WORKS
		REFURBISHMENT WORKS	REFURBISHMENT WORKS
DECEMBER		REFURBISHMENT WORKS FINISH	REFURBISHMENT WORKS FINISH
		ILLEGAL EVICTION	
JANUARY			ATTEMPTS TO FORCE A NEW LEASE
			ATTEMPTS TO FORCE A NEW LEASE
		ILLEGAL EVICTION	
FEBRUARY			CANCEL LEASE
			LAUNCH EVICTION PROCEEDINGS
			KB INSISTS ON NEW LEASE OR EVICTION
		ILLEGAL EVICTION	
		ILLEGAL EVICTION	
MARCH			KB ATTEMPTS LEASE CANCELLATION
			PROCEED WITH CIVIL PROCEEDINGS
APRIL			EXTENSIVE ATTORNEY CORRESPONDENCE
			KB ATTEMPTS TO FORCE COMMERCIAL LEASE
MAY			KB FAILING IN CIVIL COURTS AND WITH RENT FULLY PAID HIGHLY LIKELY TO FAIL ONCE HEARD
JUNE		WDS APPOINTED (EST)	
		PD AFFIDAVIT + PD COLLATES GUEST AFFIDAVIT	KB POSTPONES HEARING TO NOV 22 TO ALLOW QUICKER/CHEAPER ILLEGAL ROUTE
JULY		ILLEGAL EVICTION	
		ILLEGAL EVICTION	
		PROTECTION ORDER	
AUGUST		THEFT CHARGES	
		ARREST	
		DETENTION & BAIL APPLICATION	
		BAIL	
SEPTEMBER		COURT CORRUPTION RE PROTECTION ORDERS	
OCTOBER		THEFT OF PASSPORT	
		PD MALICIOUS PROSECUTION	
NOVEMBER			NOVEMBER HEARING DATE
			DR WINS APPLICATION. NEW DATE: APRIL 2024
			KB SUBMITS APPEAL
DECEMBER		ILLEGAL ARREST. WDS ENSURES BAIL OBJECTED TO	
JANUARY		ATTEMPTS TO DELAY START OF BAIL APPLICATION 6 JAN	
		POLLSMOOR	KB ATTEMPTS TO GET MATTER HEARD IN DR ABSENCE
		POLLSMOOR	
		ATTEMPTS TO DELAY START OF BAIL APPL 2 FEB	
		ATTEMPTS TO DELAY START OF BAIL APPL 9 FEB	
FEBRUARY		KB ATTEMPTS TO GET MATTER HEARD IN DR ABSENCE	
		POLLSMOOR	MATTER HEARD WITHOUT DR
		POLLSMOOR	KB APPLICATION INC FABRICATED DOSSIER
MARCH		POLLSMOOR	KB WINS IN ABSENCE OF DR
		BAIL APPL START DATE	

\* LEGAL COMMS = CORRESPONDENCE INITIATED BY KB ATTORNEY

1. As soon as the works were completed, the attempts to regain the property started. Conscious with a tenant who was fully paid up on rent and bills, had spent significant sums on the property on the promise of five-year lease, with the property well maintained there was no chance a court would grant an eviction order. Certainly not one misrepresenting the improvements as damage. So, the illegal attempts began. I suspect Keith anticipated little resistance from the overseas interior designer and expected to be able to take back the property easily. That was not the case. When the first illegal eviction didn't work (having been told by my attorney of the action that would follow unless the occupation was restored) KB switched to the legal route. By legal, I mean through the correct channels, although

2. Several more illegal evictions followed. This time I obtained a protection order after Keith had kicked the door in to try again. Now there was a protection Order this limited KB's illegal options considerably, so he switched the focus back to the civil courts. Various tricks and more fabricated allegations, failed to achieve the goal and his legal advice would have been that unless the tenant voluntarily gives up occupation or agrees a new lease (i.e. one that KB wanted that was commercial and meant he could evict at will with no rights of spoliation) he was almost certain to fail. As I was not about to give up occupation, and as I was not going to sign a new lease that would in effect have allowed Keith to kick us out the next day, KB reverted to the illegal routes.

It was at this time the docket was dusted off and saw the only activity on it in the 6 months prior or the 6 months hence. Added to by a series of other pre planned

3. KB postponed the August hearing to November. Had he been confident of success he would not have done so. And he did so to clear the way for and switch back to the illegal routes. Thus followed the illegal evictions, the Protection Order obtained as a result of perjury, the malicious prosecution re the theft charge, the obstruction of justice and sabotage of the bail application.

Despite their best efforts to torpedo the 4 August spoliation proceedings, they went ahead and the judge ordered KB's eviction, an interdict prohibiting him or anyone known to him going near the property and punitive costs.

Having lost, the hope was that bail would be declined and I would then spend months in Pollsmoor while the officer delayed for "further investigation". The goal

4. KB lost. When I won the application for the admission of oral evidence, which also meant the next hearing was April 2023, the focus shifted back to the illegal and to the use of the criminal justice system to achieve what the civil courts refused to. The appeal and the arrest were actioned within the same 24 hour window. Thereby starting a process from which I was removed. With all devices, data and documents seized and no opponent there was now nothing stopping KB from submitting anything he wanted in that appeal. That opportunity was not wasted, the application is a masterclass in perjury, fabrication, misdirection and misrepresentation. Had I not been locked in Pollsmoor I could have demonstrated that to the court.

KB attempted to steam roller the application through to be heard before my release should they be unsuccessful in having my bail application declined. Almost successful, the attorneys brought the injustice of the situation to the courts attention but all that did was buy a couple more weeks. The matter was still heard in my absence with no input from me. Having never seen the application.

The only option left was to appeal but appeal can only be on a procedural issue and is heard by the same judge. So you are asking the judge to agree he screwed up. And with no money I could not afford an attorney. No devices, documents or data with which to mount an appeal. No information on what had happened prior to.